No ID/RTK/91/82/32781.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Adalti Gupta, and the management of M/s. Hindustan Pottery Industries, E/5, Industrial Area, Bahadurgarh regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 vide,—Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E) Lab-70/13648, dated the 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab/70/32573, dated the 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Adalti Gupta was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/50/82/32788.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Harish Chander and the management of M/s Plasto Metal Industries, Delhi Road, Dundahera, Distt Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Harish Chander was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/46/82/32795.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Amar Kant and the management of M/s Plasto Metal Industries. Delhi Road, Dundahera, Distt. Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495 G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Amar Kant was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/RTK/90/82/32802.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jogeshwar and the management of M/s Hindustan Pottery Industries, E/5, Industrial Area, Bahadurgarh, regarding the matter herein after appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Jogeshwar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?